JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Eric Voicheck				DEFENDAN Ford Motor Co		у			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Delaware (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Michigan (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, David L. Sigismonti, Esq. (610)-566-0360	Address, and Telephone Number, , 42 East Second Stre	et, Media, PA 1906	63	Attorneys (If Kn William J. Con Stockwell, Eso Westlakes Driv	ŋ., Can	npbell Ca	any M. Alexand ampbell Edwar Berwyn, PA 19	rds & Conroy	y, P.C., 1205
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" i	in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP C		INCIPA	L PARTIES		
1 U.S. Government			· ·	(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State PTF DEF Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State					
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	O 2	3 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		D 5 24 5
		·	ł	n or Subject of a eign Country	 3	3	Foreign Nation		1 6 1 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	4		F 60	BENEFIT DE PENAL	TV I	DAN	VOLIDECY	OFFEED	CONTROL OF THE STREET
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	PERSONAL INJUR PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oti 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detaince -	1	Drug Related Seizum of Property 21 USC O Other LABOR D Fair Labor Standards Act D Labor/Mgmt. Relatic D Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigatic Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Appli Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition)	s 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 422 Appe 1 423 With 28 U PROPEI 1 820 Copy 2 830 Paten 3 840 Trade 3 861 HIA 3 862 Black 3 863 DIW 3 864 SSID 3 865 RSI 4 FEDERA 5 Taxes 6 Taxes 6 Taxes 8 T	SC 157 CTY RIGHTS rights tt cmark SECURITY (1395ff) c Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	375 False Cl 400 State Re 410 Antitrus 430 Banks a 450 Comme 460 Deporta 470 Rackete Corrupt 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 890 Other S: 891 Agricult 893 Environ 895 Freedon Act 896 Arbitrat 899 Adminis Act/Rev	eapportionment st and Banking erce thion eer Influenced and corganizations ner Credit stat TV tes/Commodities/ tege tatutory Actions tural Acts unmental Matters m of Information tion strative Procedure view or Appeal of Decision utionality of
V. ORIGIN (Place a	□ 448 Education n "X" in One Box Only)	Conditions of Confinement	□ 46:	5 Other Immigration Actions					<u></u>
□ 1 Original 🗷 2 Rei	moved from 3	Remanded from [Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop	stated or U 3	Transfer another ((specify)	red from district	☐ 6 Multidistri		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN 28 U.S.C. Section Brief description of ca		re filing (1	Do not cite jurisdiction		tes unless d			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$			HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCKE	T NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		Emily J.	Tock	well					
RECEIPT# AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	-	.m.no	GE		MAG ILIT	OGE	

Case 2:12-cv-06534-JHS Document 1 Filed 11/20/12 Page 2 of 27

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 1690 Erb Road, Aston, PA 19014 Address of Defendant: One American Road, Dearborn, MI 48126 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Route 1 on Valleybrook Rd., Chester Heights, Delaware County, PA (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ No□X Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes□ No 🕏 RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: ___ Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? No 🗓 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ No 🕸 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? No X CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1.

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1.

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. D FELA 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 3.

Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. D Assault, Defamation 4. □ Antitrust 4. □ Marine Personal Injury 5. □ Patent 5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Labor-Management Relations 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. D Civil Rights 7. X Products Liability 8.

Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) I, Emily J. Stockwell , counsel of record do hereby certify: □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 309033 <u>Emily J. Stockwell</u> Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. 11/20/12 Emily J. Stockwell 309033 Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

Case 2:12-cv-06534-JHS Document 1 Filed 11/20/12 Page 3 of 27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 1690 Erb Road, Aston, PA 19014	
Address of Defendant: One American Road, Dearborn, MI 48126	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Route 1 on Valleybrook Rd., Ches	ster Heights, Delaware County, PA
(Use Reverse Side For A	dditional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation as	nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes□ No□X
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No□x
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	ear previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No□X
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s action in this court?	uit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No□
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□ X
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	rs case filed by the same individual?
4. Is this case a second of successive habeas corpus, social seeming appear, or pro-se even right	Yes□ No□X
	165
CIVIL: (Place / in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. 🖾 Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases	
(Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERT	
I, Emily J. Stockwell , counsel of record do hereby certification.	fy:
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 11/20/12 Emily J. Stockwell	309033
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court
11/20/12	200022
DATE: LINDON Emily J. Stockwell Attorney-at-Law	309033 Attorney I.D.#
Attorney-at-Law	Amoning LD.

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

APPENDIX G

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Eric Voicheck		:				
	V.	: Civil Action : No:				
Ford Motor Co	ompany	:				
	DISCLOSUF	RE STATEMENT FORM				
Please ched	ck one box:					
X	The nongovernmental corporate party, <u>Ford Motor Company</u> , in the above listed civil action does not have any parent corporation and publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock.					
0	The nongovernmental corporate party,, in the above listed civil action has the following parent corporation(s) and publicly held corporation(s) that owns 10% or more of its stock:					
(/ / 2 Date	20/12	Signature)				
	Counsel for	∵ Ford Motor Company				
Federal Rul (a)	two copies of a disclosure	rs. A nongovernmental corporate party must file e statement that: nt corporation and any publicly held corporation				
	(2) states that there is	no such corporation.				
(b) Tı	ME TO FILE; SUPPLEMENTAL					
	petition, motion, re	statement with its first appearance, pleading, esponse, or other request addressed to the court;				
	and (2) promptly file a sup changes.	plemental statement if any required information				

APPENDIX G

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Eric Voicheck			•				
	V.		; ;	Civil Action No:			
Ford Motor C	Compan	у	:	110.			
		DISCLOSUF	RE STATEMENT	FORM			
Please che	ck one	box:					
XI	, in t	The nongovernmental corporate party, <u>Ford Motor Company</u> , in the above listed civil action does not have any parent corporation and publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock.					
	, in t	The nongovernmental corporate party,, in the above listed civil action has the following parent corporation(s) and publicly held corporation(s) that owns 10% or more of its stock:					
							
(/ / Date	20/	12	En	rify D. Stochwell jignarure			
		Counsel for	: Ford Motor Co	ompany			
Federal Ru (a)	Who two	copies of a disclosure	rs. A nongovern e statement that: nt corporation an	mental corporate party must file any publicly held corporation			
	(2)	states that there is	no such corpora	tion.			
(b) T	īме То (1)		statement with its	nust: s first appearance, pleading, request addressed to the court;			
	(2)		plemental statem	nent if any required information			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Eric Voicheck

In accordance with the Civ	vil Justica Evnansa	and Dalay Pa	duction Plan of this court cours	al for	
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other p to which that defendant be	Case Management Tove a copy on all deference that a defend shall, with its first arties, a Case Management the case should be a case should be	rack Designation and anti- endants. (See § dant does not appearance, sugement Track ld be assigned		me of everse g said ve on	
SELECT ONE OF THE I	FOLLOWING CA	SE MANAGE	MENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.				
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.					
(e) Special Management – commonly referred to a the court. (See reverse management cases.)	as complex and that	need special o	r intense management by	()	
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do not	fall into any o	ne of the other tracks.	(x)	
November 20, 2012 Date	Emily J. Stocky Attorney-at		Ford Motor Company Attorney for		
(610) 964-1900	(610) 964-198		erogers@campbell-trial-lav	vyers.com	
Telephone	FAX Numl	er	E-Mail Address		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ERIC VOICHECK : CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, : NO.:

v.

FORD MOTOR COMPANY

Defendant.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DEFENDANT FORD MOTOR COMPANY

Defendant, Ford Motor Company (hereinafter "Ford"), by and through its attorneys, Campbell Campbell Edwards & Conroy, P.C., hereby removes the above-captioned action, which is presently in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, Civil Action-Law, No. 10-14209, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 et seq. and in support thereof states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff commenced this action by a Complaint filed on October 19, 2012, which was served on Ford on or about October 31, 2012.
- 2. Plaintiff filed his Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, and asserts negligence, strict liability, and breach of warranty claims against Ford Motor Company. See Compl., attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 3. Plaintiff's Complaint also seeks punitive damages against Ford. See Ex. A at ¶¶ 15-16; 22; 29.
- 4. The Plaintiff, Eric Voicheck, resides at 1690 Erb Road, Aston, PA 19014 and is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. See Ex. A at ¶ 1.

- 5. Defendant Ford Motor Company is incorporated in the State of Delaware and its principal place of business is located at One American Road, Dearborn, MI 48126.
- 6. For purposes of this diversity analysis, Ford Motor Company is a citizen of Michigan and Delaware. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1) ("a corporation shall be deemed to be a citizen of every State . . . by which it has been incorporated and of the State . . . where it has its principal place of business . . .")
 - 7. Therefore, complete diversity of citizenship exists between Plaintiff and Ford.
- 8. This action arises out of a single-automobile accident that occurred on or about November 2, 2008 on Valleybrook Road in Chester Heights, Delaware County, Pennsylvania.

 See Ex. A at ¶ 5.
- 9. Plaintiff alleges that he lost control of the subject 2000 Ford Ranger and crashed into a tree, resulting in various injuries, including fractures of the nose and septum, concussion of the skull, lacerations to the nose and cheek, headaches, severe bruising of the abdomen, and other aches, pains, and suffering. See Ex. A at ¶¶ 6-7.
- 10. Plaintiff further alleges that his injuries were caused by a defective restraint and airbag system. See Ex. A at ¶¶ 11-12; 18-19.
- 11. Plaintiff alleges damages "in excess of \$50,000, plus costs, interest, punitive damages and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate." See Ex. A.
- 12. Plaintiff claims that his injuries "may be permanent and continue for an indefinite time in the future." Ex. A at ¶ 7. Plaintiff also claims past and future medical expenses, as well as a loss of earnings and earning capacity. Ex. A at ¶¶ 13-14; 20-21. Finally, Plaintiff alleges that Ford is liable to him for punitive damages. Ex. A at ¶¶ 15-16; 22; 29.

- 13. Accordingly, the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 and thus meets the requirement of 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).
- 14. Because the amount in controversy in this case is in excess of \$75,000, and because Plaintiff and Defendant Ford Motor Company are citizens of different states, this Court may exercise jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 15. This action may be removed to this Court by Defendant Ford Motor Company pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) because this case was initially brought in a state court within the geographical area of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and because this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).
- 16. Ford has given written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), by filing this Notice of Removal with the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County and by giving written notice to counsel for Plaintiff. A copy of Ford's Notice to Plaintiff is attached as Exhibit B.
- 17. All pleadings, process, orders, and other filings in the state court action are attached to this notice as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

WHEREFORE, Defendant Ford Motor Company respectfully requests that this action now pending against it in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, Civil Action-Law, No. 10-14209, be removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Respectfully submitted,

CAMPBELL CAMPBELL EDWARDS & CONROY, P.C.

Bv:

William J Conroy, Esquire
Tiffany M. Alexander, Esquire
Emily J. Stockwell, Esquire
1205 Westlakes Drive, Suite 330
Berwyn, PA 19312
Tel. (610)-964-1900
Fax (610)-964-1981

Attorneys for Defendants, Ford Motor Company

Date: November 20, 2012

CAMPBELL CAMPBELL EDWARDS & CONROY, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendant, Ford Motor Company

BY: William J. Conroy, Esquire

Tiffany M. Alexander, Esquire

Emily J. Stockwell, Esquire

Attorney I.D. Nos.: 36433/88681/309033

1205 Westlakes Drive, Suite 330

Berwyn, PA 19312 (610) 964-1900

ERIC VOICHECK : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

OF DELAWARE COUNTY

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION - LAW

v.

NO.: 10-14209

FORD MOTOR COMPANY

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Emily J. Stockwell, Esquire, hereby certify that on November 20, 2012, a copy of the foregoing Praecipe to File Notice of Removal was mailed via U.S. Mail, first-class, postage prepaid, to counsel for Plaintiff at the following address:

David L. Sigismonti 42 East Second Street Media, PA 19063

CAMPBELL CAMPBELL EDWARDS & CONROY, P.C.

Frails: I S

mily I Stockwell, Esquire

Attorneys for Defendants, Ford Motor Company



IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DELAWARE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION-LAW

ERIC VOICHECK 1690 Erb Road Aston, PA 19014

Plaintiff

No. 10-14209

VS

FORD MOTOR COMPANY 1910 Cochran Road Pittsburgh, PA 15220

Defendant

NOTICE TO DEFEND

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by an attorney and filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

LAWYERS' REFERENCE SERVICE FRONT AND LEMON STREETS MEDIA, PA. 19063 LO-6-6625 David L. Sigismonti Attorney ID. 28654 42 East Second Street Media, PA 19063 (610) 566-0360

Attorney for Plaintiff

ERIC VOICHECK 1690 Erb Road Aston, PA 19014

: COUNTY OF DELAWARE

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Vs.

TRIAL DIVISION

FORD MOTOR COMPANY 1910 Cochran Road Pittsburgh, PA 15220 : NO.

CIVIL ACTION

2010 OCT 29 PY
2010 OFFICE 0
JUDICIAL SUP
DELAWARE CO

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Eric Voicheck, by and through his attorney, David L. Sigismonti, Esquire, now comes and says:

General Allegations

- 1. Plaintiff, Eric Voicheck, sui juris, is an adult citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 2. Defendant, Ford Motor Company, is a Michigan-organized corporation, doing business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with a District Office and Customer Assistance Headquarters located at 1910 Cochran Road, Pittsburgh, PA, 15220.
- 3. Defendant, aforesaid, designed and manufactured a certain 2000 Ford Ranger truck, VIN # 1FTYR10C0YTA59763, which the said Plaintiff was operating at the time of his injuries, on November 2, 2008.
- 4. At all times relevant hereto, said Ford Ranger truck was owned by and titled to the Plaintiff's parents, Mark and Lisa Voicheck, with whom the said Plaintiff resided, and by whom the said Plaintiff was duly authorized to operate said vehicle.
- 5. On the said date, at approximately 7:00 am, Plaintiff was the sole passenger and operator of said vehicle, traveling westward toward Route 1 on Valleybrook Road, in Chester Heights, Delaware County, Pennsylvania.

- operating said vehicle in a legal and reasonably foreseeable manner, with his seatbelt engaged, in the vicinity of Ivy Mill Road, when the Plaintiff lost control of said vehicle, which crashed headlong into a tree ajoining the side of Valleybrook Road; thereby causing or contributing to the injuries sustained by Plaintiff.
- 7. As a result of the above, Plaintiff sustained fractures of the nose and septum; concussion of the skull; lacerations to the nose and cheek; headaches; severe bruising of the abdomen; and other aches, pains, and suffering as further described, infra, and all of which may be permanent and continue for an indefinite time in the future.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligence of Ford Motor Company

- 8. Paragraphs 1 through 7, supra, are incorporated herein as if set forth at length.
- 9. Immediately prior to the Plaintiff's accident, the subject 2000 Ford Ranger truck was in an undamaged condition, which had existed unchanged from the time of purchase by Plaintiff's parents.
- 10. The Plaintiff was unaware of any defects in the said vehicle at the time of the accident.
- 11. The injuries sustained by the Plaintiff were the direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendant, Ford Motor Company, which negligence consisted in, but is not limited to, one or more of the following with regard to the driver's seatbelt:
- a) Negligent design of the driver's seatbelt and other supporting structures and devices related to same in said vehicle;
- b) Designing a vehicle with weak, inadequate, and/or faulty inertial latches within the said seatbelt mechanism;

- c) Designing a vehicle with weak, inadequate, or improper seatbelt material or weaving;
- d) Designing a vehicle with a weak, inadequate, and/or faulty belt retractor or pretensioner mechanism;
- e) Designing a vehicle with improper seat belt connecton point geometry;
- f) Manufacturing a negligently designed driver's seatbelt eatbelt and other supporting structures and devices related to same in said vehicle;
- g) Manufacturing a vehicle with weak, inadequate, and/or faulty inertial latches within the said seatbelt mechanism;
- h) Manufacturing a vehicle with weak, inadequate, or improper seatbelt material or weaving;
- Manufacturing a vehicle with a weak, inadequate, and/or faulty belt retractor or pretensioner mechanism;
- j) Manufacturing a vehicle with improper seat belt connecton point geometry;
- k) Negligent supervision of its workmen, servants or agents in the purchase of materials used in manufacturing said seatbelt;
- 1) Negligent supervision of its workmen, servants or agents in the specification of materials used in manufacturing said seatbelt;
- m) Negligent supervision of its workmen, servants or agents in the actual manufacture of said seatbelt;
- n) Negligent techniques employed by its workmen, servants or agents in the actual manufacture of said seatbelt;
- o) Failure to observe appropriate industry standards relating to the manufacture and design of such seatbelts;
- p) Failure to warn users of the vehicle of the inadequacy of the vehicle's seatbelt;
- q) Failure to make design changes as a result of notice of seatbelt failures which occurred to the same or similar type

of vehicle manufactured by Defendant prior to the instant case, and also as a result of negligent design or manufacturing principles employed by Defendant; and

- r) Being otherwise negligent, and in contravention of the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, as the cases may provide.
- 12. The injuries sustained by the Plaintiff were the direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendant, Ford Motor Company, which negligence consisted in, but is not limited to, one or more of the following with regard to the airbag system:
- a) Negligent design of the driver-side airbag and other supporting sensors and devices related to same in said vehicle:
- b) Designing a vehicle with improper or improperly calibrated sensors with regard to deployment of said airbag system;
- c) Designing a vehicle with faulty or improper airbag materials or sensors:

- d) Designing a vehicle with improper packing of the airbag into the airbag compartment;
- e) Designing a vehicle with improper wiring of the airbag system;
- f) Designing a vehicle with improper or malfunctioning airbag deployment mechanism or system;
- g) Manufacturing a negligently designed driver-side airbag and other supporting sensors and devices related to same in said vehicle;
- h) Manufacturing a vehicle with improper or improperly calibrated sensors with regard to deployment of said airbag system;
- i) Manufacturing a vehicle with faulty or improper airbag materials or sensors;
- j) Manufacturing a vehicle with improper packing of the airbag into the airbag compartment;

- k) Manufacturing a vehicle with improper wiring of the airbag system;
- Manufacturing a vehicle with improper or malfunctioning airbag deployment mechanism or system;
- m) Negligent supervision of its workmen, servants or agents in the purchase of materials used in manufacturing said airbag and airbag system;
- n) Negligent supervision of its workmen, servants or agents in the specification of materials used in manufacturing said airbag and airbag system;
- o) Negligent supervision of its workmen, servants or agents in the actual manufacture of said airbag and airbag system;
- p) Negligent techniques employed by its workmen, servants or agents in the actual manufacture of said airbag and airbag system;
- q) Failure to observe appropriate industry standards relating to the manufacture and design of such airbags or airbag systems;
- r) Failure to warn users of the vehicle of the inadequacy of the vehicle's airbag or airbag systems;
- s) Failure to make design changes as a result of notice of airbag failures which occurred to the same or similar type vehicle manufactured by Defendant prior to the instant case; and
- t) Being otherwise negligent, and in contravention of the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, as the cases may provide.
- 13. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid injuries and the Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff has been prevented from attending to his usual duties and occupations, and may so be prevented in the future from attending to such duties and occupations, to his great personal and financial loss and detriment.

- 14. Plaintiff has been compelled to expend, and in the future will be compelled to expend sums of money for hospitals, doctors, medicine and other medical attention in attempting to alleviate and cure the aforesaid injuries.
- 15. As a result of the prior instances of seatbelt failure cases in which Defendant has been involved, Ford Motor Company was or should have been aware that this particular type of vehicle was prone to such damage as a result of its negligent design and/or manufacture and, as such, was dangerous, and likely to cause injury to drivers in such instances; in which case, said Defendant acted wantonly and recklessly in permitting said vehicle to be manufactured, sold and used by the general public, thereby entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages.
- 16. As a result of the prior instances of airbag and airbag failure cases in which Defendant has been involved, Ford Motor Company was or should have been aware that this particular type of vehicle was prone to such damage as a result of its negligent design and/or manufacture and, as such, was dangerous, and likely to cause injury to drivers in such instances; in which case, said Defendant acted wantonly and recklessly in permitting said vehicle to be manufactured, sold and used by the general public, thereby entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant in an amount in excess of \$50,000.00, plus costs, interest, punitive damages and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Strict Liability of Ford Motor Company

- 17. Paragraphs 1 through 16, supra, are incorporated herein as if set forth at length.
- 18. As the designer, manufacturer and seller of said vehicle, Defendant, Ford Motor Company, is strictly liable

to Plaintiff, in that the automobile was sold in a defective condition including, but not limited to, the following:

- a) Improper design, including, but not limited to the following:
- 1) Designing a vehicle with defective or inadequate seatbelts, airbags, airbag systems, or other related materials;
- 2) Selling a vehicle that was defective or inadequate as to the seatbelt and/or airbag or airbag system and, as a result, unreasonably dangerous;
- 3) Designing such a vehicle without adherence to appropriate industry standards of safety;
- 4) Selling such a vehicle without adherence to appropriate industry standards of safety;
- 5) Designing a vehicle without making design changes as a result of notice of seatbelt and/or airbag or airbag system failures which occurred to the same or similar type of vehicle manufactured by Defendant prior to the instant case;
- 6) Selling a vehicle without making design changes as a result of notice of seatbelt and/or airbag or airbag system failures which occurred to the same or similar type of vehicle manufactured by Defendant prior to the instant case;
- 7) Failure to provide adequate and appropriate warnings and instructions to potential users including, but not limited to, one or more of the following:
- A) Warnings or instructions in the owner's manual concerning unreasonably dangerous seatbelts and/or airbags or airbag systems:
- B) Warnings or instructions regarding use of the vehicle and potential dangers as a result of unreasonably dangerous seatbelts and/or airbags or airbag systems; and
- C) Warnings or instructions regarding restriction of activity in certain geographic or climatic areas as a result of unreasonably dangerous seatbelts and/or airbags

or airbag systems.

- 19. The defectively designed seatbelt and/or airbag or airbag system of said vehicle caused the Plaintiff to suffer enhanced or additional injuries, beyond the injuries Plaintiff would have sustained if not for said defects, and but for which decedent would have not been injured as severely as in the instant case.
- 20. The aforesaid injuries prevented Plaintiff from attending to his usual duties and occupations, and may so prevent him in the future from attending to such duties and occupations, to his great personal and financial loss and detriment, and as a further direct result of the said Defendant's Strict Liability.
- 21. Plaintiff has been compelled to expend, and in the future will be compelled to expend sums of money for hospitals, doctors, medicine and other medical attention in attempting to alleviate and cure the aforesaid injuries, as a further direct result of the said Defendant's Strict Liability.
- 22. As a result of the prior instances of seatbelt and/or airbag or airbag system failures such as the Defendant has been involved, Ford Motor Company was aware that this particular type of vehicle was prone to such damage as a result of its defective manufacture and design and, as such, was dangerous, and likely to cause injury in such instances; in which case, said Defendant acted wantonly and recklessly in permitting said vehicle to be manufactured, sold and used by the general public, thereby entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant in an amount in excess of \$50,000.00, plus costs, interest, punitive damages and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Warranty by Ford Motor Company

- 23. Paragraphs 1 through 22, supra, are incorporated herein as if set forth at length.
- 24. Defendant, Ford Motor Company, impliedly warranted that the said vehicle was fit for its intended and particular purpose.
- 25. Defendant, Ford Motor Company, impliedly warranted that said vehicle was merchantable.
- 26. The aforesaid implied warranties ran to and, by law, were intended to benefit the Plaintiff, Eric Voicheck, as a subsequent authorized user of the said vehicle.
- 27. Defendant breached the aforesaid implied warranties and, as a result of which, Plaintiff suffered injuries, pain, suffering, and other economic loss, all of which may continue for an indefinite time in the future.
- 28. As a result of said Defendant's breach of implied warranties, Plaintiff is entitled to recover for same from the Defendant.
- 29. As a result of the reckless and wanton conduct of said Defendant, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant in an amount in excess of \$50,000.00, plus costs, interest, punitive damages and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

BY:

DAVID L. SIGISMONTI, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION

I, ERIC VOICHECK, hereby certify that I am the Plaintiff in the foregoing action, and that the facts alleged therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements therein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S.A. 4904, relating to Unsworn Falsification to Authorities.

Date: 10-29-10

OF THE SHERIFF OF DELVING CITY OF THE SHERIFF OF ARTEST.

DAUPHIN COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE HARRISBURE, PA 17191

2012 OCT 29 AM 8:21

GHAIBORN

EXHIBITB

CAMPBELL CAMPBELL EDWARDS & CONROY, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendant, Ford Motor Company

BY: William J. Conroy, Esquire

Tiffany M. Alexander, Esquire Emily J. Stockwell, Esquire

Attorney I.D. Nos.: 36433/88681/309033

1205 Westlakes Drive, Suite 330

Berwyn, PA 19312 (610) 964-1900

ERIC VOICHECK : COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

OF DELAWARE COUNTY

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION - LAW

v.

NO.: 10-14209

FORD MOTOR COMPANY : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

:

Defendant.

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF

To: David L. Sigismonti

42 East Second Street Media, PA 19063 Attorney for Plaintiff,

Eric Voicheck

Please take notice that Defendant, Ford Motor Company, has filed a notice of Removal in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, removing this civil action now pending in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, et seq. Also, please take notice that Defendant has filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania a copy of the Complaint served upon it which was filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County.

Copies of this Notice of Removal and Complaint are attached to this Notice and along with this Notice are being served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

CAMPBELL CAMPBELL EDWARDS & CONROY, P.C.

y: אמ

William J. Coproy, Esquire
Tiffany M. Alexander, Esquire
Emily J. Stockwell, Esquire
1205 Westlakes Drive, Suite 330
Berwyn, PA 19312
Tel. (610)-964-1900
Fax (610)-964-1981

Attorneys for Defendants, Ford Motor Company

Date: November 20, 2012